NATIONAL DATABASE INFORMATION					
Inspection Date: 3/11/11	Inspection Type: Stormwater Construction				
Entry Time: 9:20am	Exit Time: 12:20pm				
NPDES ID Number: COR03H278					
Inspector: Natasha Davis	EPA/State/Contractor				
Inspector: Stephanie Gieck	EPA/State/Contractor				

Facility Location Information:(Name/Location/ Mailing Address)						
Site/Facility Location:	Mail Report to:					
Arkansas River Trail Phase 2	Michael Brown					
4th Street to Clark Street along the south side of the	Langston Concrete Inc.					
Arkansas River	2335 N Interstate 25					
Pueblo, CO	Pueblo, CO 81008					

Contact Information:					
	Name(s)/Title	Telephone			
Facility Contacts: (indicate primary lead and present during inspection)	Michael Brown, Environmental Specialist	719-546-3000			
Person/Company meeting definition of "Operator"	Michael Brown, Langston Concrete Inc.	719-546-3000			
Authorized Official(s) (Per NOI or SWMP?)	Michael Brown, Environmental Specialist (Per SWMP)	719-546-3000			

Is the permit on site and available? Y		Date N	Date NOI Submitted: 2/7/2011			
Effective Date: 2/8/201	1	Expira	tion Date: 6/30/2	2012		
Construction Start Date Unknown	: % c 5%	omplete:	Est 6/1	imated Completion Date: /2011		
Disturbed Area: 12.8 acres	Total Project 12.8 acres	Area: Latitud 38° 50	le: ' 45"N	Longitude: 104° 37' 8"W		
Receiving Water(s): Ar	kansas River					
If applicable, is waiver	certification & app	roval on file? NA				
Regulatory Inspector's	source of informati	on: Mr. Brown, SWM	AP			

Site Information:									
Nature of Project	Residential	Commercial/ Industrial	Roadway	Private	Federal	State/ Municipal	Other (Public Trail)		
Construction Stage	Clearing/ Grubbing	Rough Grading	Infrastructure	Building Const.	Final Grading	Fin Stabili	al zation		

Site Description

Langston Concrete Inc. (LCI) is making several improvements to the Arkansas River Trail between 4th Street and Clark Street (approximately 1.25 miles) along the southern boundary perpendicular to the Arkansas River. The trail will be reinforced concrete in some areas and crusher fines in other areas. LCI will also be landscaping, installing multiple culverts, cleaning other culverts, placing rip rap in various areas as well as boulder terracing to stabilize the culverts and steep slopes from the trail to the river.

At the time of the inspection, the area where LCI is constructing the trail was unvegetated from Main Street to Clark Street. Photo 1589 shows an overview of the project. Silt fence was installed along the northern boundary of the trail. Rock socks and straw wattles were used to dissipate flow in two culverts and along the construction entrance just east of Main Street. Some of the new culverts had been installed, but the rip rap had not been installed (Photos 1560, 1561, and 1583). Construction was not active at the time of the inspection. LCI was delaying construction until a separate project between 4th Street and Main Street was completed.

SWMP Review	T college	
General		Notes:
Is a copy of the SWMP onsite (Date)?	Y	A copy of the SWMP is kept in Mr. Brown's vehicle (January 2011).
SWMP completed prior to NOI submission?	Y	SWMP dated January 2011
SWMP administration identified?	Y	Michael Brown
Site Description		Notes:
Site description including the function of the project?	Y	
Nature/sequence of site activities?	Y	
Total area of site and total area to be disturbed?	Y	12.8 acres
Identification including location of potential sources of pollution?	Y	
Name of Receiving water(s) or MS4 listed?	Y	Arkansas River
Location/description industrial activities, or concrete/asphalt batch plants?	N/A	
Description of soils or existing potential for soil erosion?	Y	
Description of existing vegetation and percent ground cover?	Y	

Site Map		Notes:	
Is there a site map?	Y		
Areas of disturbed, undisturbed and stored areas on site map?		N	The site map does not define which areas are undisturbed or disturbed or where equipment, chemicals, and fuel will be stored on site.
Location of structural BMPs identified in the SWMP on site map (see page 5)?		N	The site map is missing the location of the rock socks seen in the culvert near Main Street, the culvert under the railroad bridge, and the straw wattle used to control the spring water discharge.
Location of non-structural BMPs identified in the SWMP on site map (see page 5)?		N	•The location of a dumpster, fuel tank, and chemical storage described in the SWMP are not identified on the site map.
Location of building materials, equipment storage, and waste storage on site map (on-site or off-site)?		N	The location of a dumpster, fuel tank, and chemical storage are not identified on the site map but the use of these BMPs is discussed in the SWMP.
Location of surface water on site map within the project boundary?	N	/A	The Arkansas River does not flow within the project boundary, but it is shown on the site map.

SWMP Review					
Controls to Reduce Pollutant	ts	Notes:			
Does the SWMP include a description of all BMPs, sequence of BMPs?		N	The SWMP states that straw wattles will not be used on site. EPA observed a straw wattle located on the downhill side of the silt fence near the spring water discharge.		
Does the SWMP describe and locate structural practices?		N	The SWMP states that several structural practices will be used on site but the site map does not locate the use of these BMPs in the culverts as seen during the inspection. Nor does the SWMP describe the use of the straw wattle seen on site.		
Non-structural practices?	Y				
Phase BMP implementation?	Y				
Material handling and spill prevention?	Y				
Vehicle tracking control?	Y				
Waste management and disposal?	Y				
Concrete washout?	Y				
Groundwater and stormwater	N	/A			

SWMP Review					
Controls to Reduce Pollutan	<u>its</u>	49) Starter	Notes:		
dewatering?	1		*		
Final stabilization practices?	Y				
Locations and descriptions of allowable non-storm water discharges?	-	N	There was a natural spring discharging at the time of the inspection that was not described in the SWMP. It is located half way between Main Street and Clark Street.		
Pollution prevention measures for non-storm water discharges?	Y				
Identify pollutant sources from areas other than construction?	Y				
Describe controls for pollutants from non-construction activities?	Y				

INSPECTIONS (SWMP & Implementation)			Notes:
Does the SWMP identify the inspection schedule?	Y		Inspections will be conducted every 14 days or within 24 hours of any precipitation event.
Are the inspections conducted at the specified schedule?		N	Inspections were not available at the time of the inspection. Mr. Brown stated that inspections ceased when construction activities were delayed due to another upstream project interfering with their activities. Mr. Brown did not specify when inspections ceased.
Inspections include all disturbed areas?	Unk	nown	Inspection reports were not available at the time of the inspection and have not been provided to EPA, as requested during the inspection.
Inspections include storage areas exposed to rain?	Unknown		
Inspections include all BMPs?	Unknown		
Inspections include evidence of or potential pollutants?	Unk	nown	
Inspections include discharge locations?	Unk	nown	
Inspections include entrances/exits?	Unk	nown	
Does the inspection form have the required items (see section I.D.6.b.2 of the Colorado General Permit)?	Unk	nown	
Inspection signed and certified by authorized personnel?	Unk	nown	
SWMP and site map revised when BMPs added/modified within 72 hours after inspection reveals problems? Are the changes dated?	Unk	nown	
Is the SWMP implementation adequate?	Unk	nown	

SWMP Implementation (S	Site r	eview)				
STOL IN FRANK THE	5	structura	and S	tabil	izatio	n Practices
List and describe structural	and s	tabilizatio	n pract	ices		
	S	WMP/Site Map	Use	Used On-Site		Comments
Silt Fence (perimeter)	Y		Y			Silt fence on the map is located adjacent to the shoreline in some areas. The silt fence on site was located adjacent to the disturbed trail, not along the shoreline.
Silt Fence (other)		N	Y			The concrete washout was surrounded with silt fence, which was not shown on the site map. Photo 1587
Storm drain inlet protection	Y		Y			
Straw Wattles		N	Y		-	Straw wattle used to control the spring water discharge was not addressed. Photo 1574
Rock Socks	Y		Y			Used around culverts. Photos 1560, 1562, 1582, 1583
Rip rap	Y			N		Rip rap has not been installed yet in the culverts.
Vehicle track-out pad	Y		Y			Photo 1588
Good housekeeping & waste disposal practices	Y				NA	The site was inactive at the time of the inspection. A dumpster will be used when activity resumes.
Equipment/ maintenance area	Y		Y			The equipment storage area east of the Main Street Bridge was not indicated on the site map.
Concrete washout area	Y		Y			Photo 1587
Port-o-lets	Y			N		The site was inactive at the time of the inspection. A port-o-let will be used when activity resumes.
Existing vegetation		N	Y			Existing vegetation on the slope from the trail to the river was seen on site but not indicated as a vegetative buffer BMP in the SWMP or site map. Photo 1568
Seeding	Y			N		Seeding will be used during final stabilization.
Filter Fabric		N	Y			Erosion control matting was seen on site. Photos 1562, 1583
Culverts		N	Y			The culvert partially constructed east of Main Street has the potential to discharge a significant amount of sediment. Photos 1560, 1584, 1585, 1586

SWPPP Implementat	tion (Site Review)						
Second Second	Structural and Stabilization Practices						
Any unprotected/ exposed slopes/areas without vegetation mulch or matting after construction activity has ceased?	(e.g., indicate "yes" or "no"; if "yes", how long without stabilization measures?) (Note: for information only in Colorado) Yes, there was one location along the trail approximately 3/4 of the way between Mi Street and Clark Street where an approximate five foot wide section of soil was disturbed from the trail down the hill to the river prior to the inspection. The cause the disturbance is unknown. No BMPs, mulch or matting were in place to control erosion from this area as seen in photo 1577.						
	soil on the downhill side of the silt fence (Photo 1568); Mr. Brown stated that LCI had not planned to manage this disturbed area.						
Are stabilization	(e.g., indicate "yes" or "no"; if "yes", how long without stabilization measures?)						
practices properly applied in a timely manner and adequately maintained?	No, see explanation above. Additionally, the culvert constructed east of Main Street (Photos 1560, 1584, 1585, 1586, 1589) had not been completed but could have been completed in a timely manner, as specified in the SWMP, or additional correctly installed BMPs put in place to prevent direct discharges (Photos 1584, 1586) into the Arkansas River. LCI plans to complete the culvert by installing rip rap.						
Are structural controls properly installed and maintained?	(e.g. indicate "yes" or "no"; explain (f necessary) No, there were several areas where the silt fence was not trenched or replaced when damaged, as seen in photos 1563, 1573, 1576, and 1582. There were areas where silt fence was found in the river, as seen in photos 1565, 1566, and 1575. Below the culvert near Main Street, the silt fence could easily be bypassed, as seen in photo 1584. In addition, the culvert installed near the railroad bridge, just west of Clark Street, had filter fabric that had been washed from above the culvert through the pipe (Photo 1583).						
Discuss how the	(e.g., silt fence installed in a live stream)						
structural controls are, or are not appropriate for the site.	LCI plans to install rip rap in the culvert located at the Main Street bridge (photos 1560 and 1585), but delay in construction has prevented this from occurring and allowed sediment erosion into the Arkansas River. Furthermore, the silt fence installed at the base did not surround the area where potential erosion could occur as seen in photo 1584.						

Miscellaneous				
Evidence of Sediment Deposition to Surface Waters	(e.g., significant turbidity observed in a receiving water body) Yes, the Arkansas River below the Main Street bridge culvert contained excessive sediment downhill from the disturbed area explained above and seen in photo 1577. The sediment seen in photo 1579 and 1580 was deposited below the disturbed strip as compared to a shoreline below an undisturbed area in photo 1581.			
Pollution prevention measures for non- storm water discharges?	(provide brief description) Silt fence around the concrete wash out was installed to prevent discharges (Photo 1587).			
Has implementation of additional/ modified BMPs been completed before next anticipated storm event?	(provide brief description) The BMPs on site were installed, inspections ceased, and modified BMPs or the need for additional BMPs has not been evaluated.			

Photo Number Inspection Date Photographer Description 1558 3/11/2011 Stephanie Gieck

View to the north of a separate project taking place northwest of Union Avenue.



Photo Number
Inspection Date
Photographer
Description

1560 3/11/2011 Stephanie Gieck

View to the north of a new culvert outlet and drainage into Arkansas River from the trail east of Main Street bridge.



Photo Number Inspection Date Photographer Description 1561 3/11/2011 Stephanie Gieck View of the culvert outlet of Photo 1560.





1562 3/11/2011 Stephanie Gieck

View of a culvert at the construction site entrance located east of the Main Street bridge. Note the inlet protection has not been maintained.



Photo Number Inspection Date Photographer Description

1563 3/11/2011 Stephanie Gieck

View of the project looking west toward Main St. Note the disturbance on both sides of the silt fence and the damage to the silt fence.



Photo Number Inspection Date Photographer Description 1564 3/11/2011 Stephanie Gieck

View down the hill from the trail toward the Arkansas River. Note the vegetation in the center of the photo is matted down in the same direction toward the river.



Photo Number Inspection Date Photographer Description 1565 3/11/2011 Stephanie Gieck

Down silt fence in the Arkansas River along the project site.



Photo Number Inspection Date Photographer Description 1566 3/11/2011 Stephanie Gieck

View of the Arkansas River along the project site looking west toward Main St. Note the down silt fence in the Arkansas River in the lower right corner of the photo.



Photo Number Inspection Date Photographer Description

1567 3/11/2011 Stephanie Gieck View of the Arkansas

River along the project site looking west toward the Main Street bridge.



Photo Number Inspection Date Photographer Description 1568 3/11/2011 Stephanie Gieck

View of the project looking west toward the Main Street bridge. Note the disturbance on both sides of the silt fence.



Photo Number Inspection Date Photographer Description

3/11/2011 Stephanie Gieck

1569

View of a culvert inlet looking north toward the Arkansas River.





1570 3/11/2011 Stephanie Gieck Close-up view of the culvert showing Photo 1569.



Photo Number Inspection Date Photographer Description

1571 3/11/2011 Stephanie Gieck View of the culver

View of the culver outlet for the inlet shown in Photos 1569 and 1570.



Photo Number Inspection Date Photographer Description

1572 3/11/2011 Stephanie Gieck

View to the east of water flowing from the hillside, along the path, and then under the silt fence to the Arkansas River.



Photo Number Inspection Date Photographer Description 1573 3/11/2011 Stephanie Gieck

View of the water from Photo 1572 flowing under the silt fence toward the Arkansas River. Note the silt fence is not trenched.



1574 3/11/2011 Stephanie Gieck

View of the downhill side of the silt fence shown in Photos 1572 and 1573.



Photo Number Inspection Date Photographer Description

3/11/2011 Stephanie Gieck View of silt fence in the river below the

1575

the river below the area of Photos 1572-1574.



Photo Number Inspection Date Photographer Description

1576 3/11/2011 Stephanie Gieck View to the east showing damaged silt fence.



Photographs for Arkansas River Trail 2 - COR03H278

Inspection Type: Stormwater - Construction

Photo Number 1577

Inspection Date Photographer Description 3/11/2011 Stephanie Gieck

View to the north of disturbance along the hillside below the trail to the Arkansas River.





Photo Number Inspection Date Photographer Description

1578 3/11/2011 Stephanie Gieck

View from the river looking uphill to the south of down vegetation and sediment below Photo 1577.

Photo Number Inspection Date Photographer Description

3/11/2011 Stephanie Gieck View to the north, toward the river, of down vegetation and sediment along the Arkansas River bank below Photos 1577 and 1578.

1579





Photo Number Inspection Date Photographer Description

1580 3/11/2011 Stephanie Gieck

Close-up view of sediment along the bank shown in Photo 1579.

Photo Number Inspection Date Photographer Description 1581 3/11/2011 Stephanie Gieck

Contrast of typical sediment deposit along the bank of the river approximately 5-10 feet east of Photo 1580.



Photo Number Inspection Date Photographer Description 1582 3/11/2011 Stephanie Gieck

View to the north toward the Arkansas River of damaged silt fence on the east side of the project site under the railroad bridge, near Clark St.

Photo Number Inspection Date Photographer Description

1583 3/11/2011 Stephanie Gieck

View to the north toward the Arkansas River of sediment just below a culvert outlet at the east end of the project site near Clark St. Note the fabric that has been pushed through the culvert from above.





1584 3/11/2011 Stephanie Gieck

View along the river looking southwest toward Main St. below the drainage shown on Photo 1560. Note that stormwater could flow around either side of the silt fence.



Photo Number 1585

Inspection Date Photographer Description 3/11/2011 Stephanie Gieck

View uphill to the south of the drainage shown in Photo 1560 showing the same silt fence as in Photo 1584.



1586 3/11/2011

Stephanie Gieck

Second view along the river looking southwest toward Main St. below the drainage shown on Photo 1560. Note the difference in the sediment near the silt fence compared to the area near the first bridge (Main St.).





Photo Number Inspection Date Photographer Description

1587 3/11/2011 Stephanie Gieck

Concrete washout located near the equipment storage area and the construction site entrance near Main Street.



Photo Number Inspection Date Photographer Description 1588 3/11/2011 Stephanie Gieck

View to the south of a vehicle track out pad along Main St. at the top of the construciton site access ramp.



Photographs for Arkansas River Trail 2 - COR03H278

Inspection Type: Stormwater - Construction

Photo Number 1589

Inspection Date Photographer Description 3/11/2011 Stephanie Gieck

Overview of the project site from Main St. looking east. The culvert outlet drainage shown in Photo 1560 is visible in the center of the photo.



United S	tates Environmental Protection	Agency		
CEPA Washington, D.C. 20460				
Water Compliance Inspection Report				
S	ection A: National Data System	m Coding (i.e. PCS)		
Transaction Code NPDES 1 2 5 3 C O R 0 3 H 2 7	yr/mo/day	Inspection Type	Inspector Fac Type	
21	Remarks		66	
Inspection Work Days Facility Self-Mor 67	nitoring Evaluation Rating	BI QA 73 74		
	Section B: Facility	y Data		
Name and Location of Facility Inspected (For industrial POTW, also include POTW name and NPDES permit n Arkansas River Trail Phase 2	Entry Time/Date 9:20am/3-11-2011	Permit Effective Date 2/8/2011		
4th Street to Clark Street along the south side of the An Pueblo, CO	Exit Time/Date 12:20pm/3-11-2011	Permit Expiration Date 6/30/2012		
Name(s) of On-Site Representative(s)/Title(s)/Phone ar Mike Brown, Environmental Specialist, 719-456-3000	Other Facility Data (e.g., descriptive information)	SIC, NAICS, and other		
	SIC Code: 1629			
		Lat. 38 15' 45" N		
Name, Address of Responsible Official/Title/Phone and	Fax Number	Long: 104 37' 8" W		
Michael Langston, President				
Langston Concrete				
2335 N Interstate 25		Contacted		
710 455 3000		es x No		
Section C: Areas	Evaluated During Inspection	Check only those areas evalua	ated)	
x Permit	Self-Monitoring Program	Pretreatment	MS4	
x Records/Reports	Compliance Schedule	Pollution Prevention	inor	
x Facility Site Review	Laboratory	x Storm Water		
Effluent/Receiving Waters x	Operations & Maintenance	Combined Sewer Overflow		
Flow Measurement	Sludge Handling/Disposal	Sanitary Sewer Overflow		
	-			
	Section D: Summary of Findir	ngs/Comments		
(Attach additional she	ets of narrative and checklists, includin	g Single Event Violation codes, as neces	sary)	
Name(s) and Signature(s) of Inspector(s)	Agency/Office/Phone a	nd Fax Numbers	Date	
Natasha Davis Nttally Devto	EPA 1595 Wynkoop St	Denver, CO 80202 303-312-6225	5/3/11	
Stanhaina Gianti Ada			5-17.1.1	
Stephane Gleck Card	EPA 1595 Wynkoop St	Denver, CO 80202 303-312-6362	712/11	
Signature QA Reviewer	EPA 1595 Wynkoop Si Agency/Office/Phone a	Denver, CO 80202 303-312-6362 Ind Fax Numbers	Date	

EPA Form 3560-3 (Rev 1-06) Previous editions are obsolete

INSTRUCTIONS

Section A: National Data System Coding (i.e., PCS)

Column 1: Transaction Code: Use N. C. or D'for New, Change, or Delete. All inspections will be new unless there is an error in the data entered.

Columns 3-11: NPDES Permit No. Enter the facility's NPDES permit number - third character in permit number indicates permit type for U unpermitted. G-general permit, etc.. (Use the Remarks columns to record the State permit number, if necessary.)

Columns 12-17: Inspection Date. Insert the date entry was made into the facility. Use the year/month/day format (e.g., 04/10/01 - October 01, 2004).

Column 18: Inspection Type*. Use one of the codes listed below to describe the type of inspection:

11

X

7

#

- Performance Audit B
 - Compliance Biomonitoring
- Compliance Evaluation (non-sampling) C
- D Diagnostic
- Pretreatment (Follow-up)
- Pretreatment (Audit) G
- Industrial User (IU) Inspection
- Complaints
- Multimedia M
- Spill N
- 0 Compliance Evaluation (Oversight)
- Pretreatment Compliance Inspection P
- R Reconnaissance
- Compliance Sampling S

Sludge - Biosolids Combined Sewer Overflow-Sampling

IU Inspection with Pretreatment Audit

- \$ Combined Sewer Overflow-Non-Sampling
- Sanitary Sewer Overflow-Sampling

Toxics Inspection

- 8 Sanitary Sewer Overflow-Non-Sampling
- CAFO-Sampling
- CAFO-Non-Sampling
- 2 IU Sampling Inspection
 - IU Non-Sampling Inspection
- 3 1 IU Toxics Inspection
- 5
 - IU Sampling Inspection with Pretreatment
- 6 IU Non-Sampling Inspection with Pretreatment
- IU Toxics with Pretreatment

- Pretreatment Compliance (Oversight)
- Follow-up (enforcement) 0
- Storm Water-Construction-Sampling ł
- Storm Water-Construction-Non-Sampling
- Storm Water-Non-Construction-Sampling
- Storm Water-Non-Construction-Non-Sampling Storm Water-MS4-Sampling
- Storm Water-MS4-Non-Sampling
- Storm Water-MS4-Audit

Column 19: Inspector Code. Use one of the codes listed below to describe the lead agency in the inspection.

A-	State (Contractor)	 O— Other Inspectors, Federal/EPA (Specify in Remarks columns)
B	EPA (Contractor)	P— Other Inspectors, State (Specify in Remarks columns)
E-	Corps of Engineers	R — EPA Regional Inspector
1-	Joint EPA/State Inspectors—EPA Lead	S — State Inspector
L	Local Health Department (State)	I — Joint State/EPA Inspectors—State lead
N-	NEIC Inspectors	

Column 20: Facility Type. Use one of the codes below to describe the facility.

- Municipal. Publicly Owned Treatment Works (POTWs) with 1987 Standard Industrial Code (SIC) 4952. 1 -
- Industrial. Other than municipal, agricultural, and Federal facilities. 2-
- Agricultural. Facilities classified with 1987 SIC 0111 to 0971. 3-
- Federal. Facilities identified as Federal by the EPA Regional Office. 4-
- Oil & Gas. Facilities classified with 1987 SIC 1311 to 1389. 5---

Columns 21-66: Remarks. These columns are reserved for remarks at the discretion of the Region

Columns 67-69: Inspection Work Days. Estimate the total work effort (to the nearest 0.1 work day), up to 99.9 days, that were used to complete the inspection and submit a QA reviewed report of findings. This estimate includes the accumulative effort of all participating inspectors; any effort for laboratory analyses, testing, and remote sensing; and the billed payroll time for travel and pre and post inspection preparation. This estimate does not require detailed documentation.

Column 70: Facility Evaluation Rating. Use information gathered during the inspection (regardless of inspection type) to evaluate the quality of the facility selfmonitoring program. Grade the program using a scale of 1 to 5 with a score of 5 being used for very reliable self-monitoring programs, 3 being satisfactory, and 1 being used for very unreliable programs.

Column 71: Biomonitoring Information. Enter D for static testing. Enter F for flow through testing. Enter N for no biomonitoring.

Column 72: Quality Assurance Data Inspection. Enter Q if the inspection was conducted as followup on quality assurance sample results. Enter N otherwise.

Columns 73-80: These columns are reserved for regionally defined information.

Section B: Facility Data

This section is self-explanatory except for "Other Facility Data," which may include new information not in the permit or PCS (e.g., new outfalls, names of receiving waters, new ownership, other updates to the record, SIC/NAICS Codes, Latitude/Longitude).

Section C: Areas Evaluated During Inspection

Check only those areas evaluated by marking the appropriate box. Use Section D and additional sheets as necessary. Support the findings, as necessary, in a brief narrative report. Use the headings given on the report form (e.g., Permit, Records/Reports) when discussing the areas evaluated during the inspection.

Section D: Summary of Findings/Comments

Briefly summarize the inspection findings. This summary should abstract the pertinent inspection findings, not replace the narrative report. Reference a list of attachments, such as completed checklists taken from the NPDES Compliance Inspection Manuals and pretreatment guidance documents, including effluent data when sampling has been done. Use extra sheets as necessary.

*Footnote: In addition to the inspection types listed above under column 18, a state may continue to use the following wet weather and CAFO inspection types until the state is brought into ICIS-NPDES: K: CAFO, V: SSO, Y: CSO, W: Storm Water 9: MS4. States may also use the new wet weather, CAFO and MS4 inspections types shown in column 18 of this form. The EPA regions are required to use the new wet weather, CAFO, and MS4 inspection types for inspections with an inspection date (DTIN) on or after July 1, 2005.